MINUTES OF THE 45TH MEETING OF STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA) HELD ON 03RD JANUARY, 2019 AT PRANI SAMPAD BHAVAN

The forty-fifth meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) was held on 03rd January, 2019 in the Conference Room of Environment Department, Prani Sampad Bhavan, 5th Floor, LB - Block, Sector – III, Salt Lake, Kol-106. Following cases were discussed:

I. Cases for Final Environmental Clearance


The Authority considered the recommendation of SEAC and observed that the appraisal based on the submission made by the project proponent should include a field verification report confirming the declarations made by the project proponent and compliance of the stipulated conditions. The SEAC is requested to take necessary action.


The Authority considered the recommendation of SEAC and observed the following:

i. The reply from KMC regarding the clarification with respect to land use is yet awaited. A reminder in this regard may please be issued to KMC.

ii. The appraisal based on the submission made by the project proponent should include a field verification report confirming the declarations made by the project proponent and compliance of the earlier EC conditions. The SEAC is requested to take necessary action.
II. Violation cases

1. Application for Environmental for the proposed Commercial Project “Ideal Unique Centre” at 10, East Topsia Road, PS – Tiljala, KMC Ward No. 66, Kolkata – 700 046, West Bengal by M/s. Ideal Unique Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

I. The SEIAA considered the submissions made by the project proponent and recommendations of SEAC and found the activities in the following sequence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.09.2011</td>
<td>First application made to SEIAA for G+27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.2011</td>
<td>Sanctioned plan for G+27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.09.2012</td>
<td>Stipulated condition issued for G+27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.01.2013</td>
<td>Application for EC along with sanctioned plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.05.2013</td>
<td>SEIAA identified violation in construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.05.2017</td>
<td>Applied for ToR to MoEF for G+31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.04.2018</td>
<td>ToR issued by MoEF for G+31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.08.2018</td>
<td>Application for EC at SEIAA for G+31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the project proponent was issued stipulated conditions for G+27 storied building and meanwhile they had already started construction activities without obtaining EC resulting in violation case. The present case would, therefore, be processed for EC after preparation of ‘Remediation Plan’ for G+27.

Further application for G+31 would be considered as an expansion case once the EC is obtained for G+27.

II. The ‘Remediation Plan’ should include the following information in details:

i. Total project cost estimate duly vetted along with supporting documents.

ii. The detailed estimate of each component of work duly vetted.

iii. Location details along with map for each work.

iv. Necessary permission to undertake works in locations lying outside the project area.
III. The appraisal based on the submission made by the project proponent should include a field verification report confirming the declarations made by the project proponent and compliance of the earlier stipulated conditions. The SEAC is requested to take necessary action.


The Authority examined the recommendation of SEAC and observed the following:

I. The 'Remediation Plan' should include the following information in details:
   i. Total project cost estimate duly vetted along with supporting documents.
   ii. The detailed estimate of each component of work duly vetted.
   iii. Location details along with map for each work.
   iv. Necessary permission to undertake works in locations lying outside the project area.

II. The appraisal based on the submission made by the project proponent should include a field verification report confirming the declarations made by the project proponent and compliance of the earlier stipulated conditions. The SEAC is requested to take necessary action.

III. Stipulated Conditions


The SEIAA approved the stipulated conditions for Environmental Clearance recommended by the SEAC.

The SEIAA approved the stipulated conditions for Environmental Clearance recommended by the SEAC.

IV. Miscellaneous

1. Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of Stadium ‘Gitanjali’ and commercial complex ‘Acropolis’ at 1858, Rajdanga Main Road, KMC Ward No. 107, P.O. EKTP, PS – Kasba, Kolkata – 700 107, West Bengal by M/s. Merlin Projects Ltd.

In the said project the required capacity of STP mentioned in the EC was 310 KLD (260 KLD for Phase I and 50 KLD for Phase II). In continuation with the decision taken by SEIAA in its 33rd meeting on 27.06.2018, SEAC has NOT recommended the proposal of the project proponent of construction of another STP of 50 KLD capacity instead of 260 KLD as mentioned in the EC. SEIAA accepted the recommendation of SEAC and therefore, the application for amendment of EC is rejected.
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