MINUTES OF THE 76TH MEETING OF STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY (SEIAA) HELD ON 11TH FEBRUARY, 2020 AT PRANI SAMPAD BHAVAN

The seventy sixth meeting of the State Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) was held on 11th February, 2020 in the Conference Room of Environment Department, Prani Sampad Bhavan, 5th Floor, LB – Block, Sector – III, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700106. Following cases were discussed:

I. Violation

1. Application for Environmental Clearance for the proposed residential complex “Meridian Splendor” by M/s. Srinivas Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. at 9A/1, Uma Kanta Sen Lane under KMC Ward No. 4, P.S. Chitpur, Kolkata – 700 032. (SIA/WB/NCP/57949/2016)

SEIAA had decided in its 60th meeting held on 14.08.2019 that the said case should fall under violation category as recommended by SEAC. Thus the application was rejected. The project proponent applied for EC on 22.08.2016. However in view of the MoEF&CC O.M. 22-10/2019-IA.III dated 09.09.2019, the project proponent applied again for reconsideration. SEIAA referred the case to MoEF&CC which in turn replied vide their memo no. F.No. 19-131/2019-IA-III dated 06.01.2020 advising SEIAA to take necessary action as per the above mentioned O.M. dated 09.09.2019.

Therefore, SEAC is requested to process the case under violation category.

II. Environmental Clearance


SEIAA considered the recommendation of SEAC.

The application for EC is approved based on the NKDA Building PIN. 0080038220190425 dated 25.04.2019.


SEIAA considered the recommendation of SEAC. It was noted that the project proponent has applied for land conversion from ‘Shali’ to ‘Karkhana/Industry’ which is yet to be allowed by the DLLRO, Howrah.

Therefore, the project proponent is requested to obtain the land conversion certificate and submit the same.
3. Application for Environmental clearance for the proposed expansion of residential cum SME complex by M/s Mahakosh Property Developers at 54/10, D.C. Dey Road, Kolkata – 700 015, West Bengal. (SIA/WB/MIS/136445/2020).

SEIAA considered the recommendation of SEAC along with the submission made by the project proponent vide their letter no. NIL dated 13.01.2020.

The application for EC is approved based on the KMC Building Permit No. 2013070087 dated 16.07.2013 subsequently revalidated 05.11.2018.


SEIAA considered the recommendation of SEAC and observed that the total built up area as mentioned by the project proponent is not tallying with the sanctioned plan vide NKDA Building PIN. 0080032720160916 dated 16.09.2016 which was further revised and approved by NKDA on 29.11.2019.

Therefore, the project proponent is requested to submit the clarification regarding the mismatch in total built up area.


SEIAA considered the recommendation of SEAC.

The application for EC is approved based on the KMC Building Permit No. 2019100143 dated 17.12.2019.

III. Stipulated conditions.

1. Application for Environmental Clearance for the proposed modification and expansion of Residential Complex by M/s. Display Vinimay Pvt. Ltd. &72 Ors. at Mouza – Atghara, JL No. 10, R.S. No. 133, Touzi No. 172, PS – Rajarhat, Rajarhat Gopalpur Municipality, Ward No. 9, Dist. – 24 Parganas (North), West Bengal. (SIA/WB/MIS/105360/2019)

SEIAA considered the recommendation of SEAC and observed the following :-

i. The first EC was issued vide No. EN/1678/T-II-1/072/2012 dated 30/06/2014 for Phase I part of the project of land area 7815.91 sq.m. Subsequently another EC vide No. 2579/EN/T-II-1/088/2014 dated 23/11/2016 was issued for the Phase I & Phase II project on 28032.92 sq.m.

ii. From the inspection report it is observed that the Phase I part is already occupied which implies that the land parcel is proportionately distributed among the flat owners. The project proponent needs to submit the ownership document regarding the said land parcel.
iii. The Phase I part is directly abutting the road. The Phase II land parcel does not have any exclusive access from the road. It is dependent on the Phase I for access. Since the development area which is mentioned for Phase II is quite large with respect to Phase I development, thus all kinds of loading including environmental, traffic etc. would be dependent on the Phase I land parcel.

iv. From the inspection report it is found that the required green area for Phase I of the project has not been met. The total exclusive tree plantation area for the entire project should be at least 20% of the total land area.

The project proponent should submit the documents in compliance with the above mentioned points.
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